Calculations of the Energy Accommodation Coefficient for Gas-Surface Interactions
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Abstract

Calculations are carried out for the energy accommodation coefficient at a gas-surface interface using a recently developed classical mechanical theory of atom-surface collisions that includes both direct scattering and trapping-desorption processes in the physisorption well of the interaction potential. Full three-dimensional calculations are compared with the available data for the accommodation of heavy rare gases at a tungsten surface, systems for which classical physics is expected to be valid. Reasonable agreement with the data is obtained using well depths that are somewhat smaller than previously measured values obtained from thermal desorption experiments.
I. INTRODUCTION

The exchange of energy between a gas in contact with a surface is often characterized in terms of the energy accommodation coefficient. Although the origins of the concept of an energy accommodation coefficient can be traced to J. C. Maxwell\(^1\) it is Knudsen who gave it a proper physical definition under what are now known as the conditions of rarefied gas dynamics.\(^2\)–\(^5\)

The Knudsen energy accommodation coefficient has values that range from zero to unity, with a value of unity arising if the gas achieves equilibrium with the surface after colliding with it and a value of zero implying that no energy at all is transferred.

Early measurements of the accommodation coefficients for rare gases in contact with a tungsten surface were carried out by Roberts, although it soon became apparent that his experiments were not carried out with sufficiently clean surfaces and thus his data did not represent the values expected for the gas-surface interaction with a clean metal.\(^6\) The work of Roberts did, however, stimulate early theoretical investigations, especially for describing the interaction of He atoms with surfaces using quantum mechanics.\(^7\)–\(^9\) In the 1960s with the advent of high vacuum technology and good surface cleaning techniques reliable measurements of the accommodation coefficient for rare gases on metal surfaces became available from two different groups, that of Thomas et al.\(^10,11\) and of Kouptsidis and Menzel.\(^12,13\) An extensive review of work pertaining to accommodation coefficients and a very useful compendium of available experimental data has been presented by Saxena and Joshi.\(^14\) Other extensive reviews have been given by Goodman and Wachman.\(^15\)–\(^17\)

The purpose of this paper is to present calculations for the accommodation coefficients of the heavy rare gases with metal surfaces using a recently developed classical theory for atom-surface collisions that includes both direct scattering and trapping-desorption processes. Similar classical scattering theories have been applied previously to calculations of the accommodation coefficient and reasonable agreement with measurements for the heavy rare gases on clean tungsten surfaces was obtained, but these calculations included only direct scattering processes and did not properly include trapping and subsequent desorption by the physisorption well of the interaction potential.\(^18\) The trapping-desorption fraction is that portion of an incident beam of gas particles directed towards a surface that gets trapped by the physisorption well during the initial collision process. If this fraction remains in the ph-
ysisorption well and does not go on to become permanently adsorbed or chemisorbed (which is the expected case for rare gas atoms if the temperature is not too small) these physisorbed atoms will eventually desorb and the standard assumption is that the trapping-desorption fraction leaves the surface in a thermal energy distribution that is nearly in equilibrium at the temperature of the surface. Under such an assumption the trapping-desorption fraction is expected to enhance the accommodation coefficient and cause it to have values closer to unity. On the other hand, the direct scattering fraction tends to exchange less energy with the surface and its contribution to the accommodation coefficient is expected to cause it to have values less than unity.

The gas-surface scattering theory applied in this paper uses classical mechanics. In the initial collision with the surface a gas particle will either be scattered back into the continuum states (the direct scattering fraction) or will be trapped in the physisorption well of the interaction potential. Those particles that are trapped can be subdivided into two classes, those that have negative total energy and those that have positive total energy but are traveling at angles so close to the surface that they cannot escape from the well. This latter class is sometimes called the chattering fraction. In the theory used here the trapped particles are tracked as they make further collisions with the surface and with each subsequent collision a fraction remains trapped but a fraction receives enough energy to escape into the continuum states. These subsequent collisions are treated with an iteration algorithm that can be carried out to very large numbers until virtually all of the initially trapped particles have desorbed. The theory has demonstrated clearly the conditions for the trapping-desorption fraction to leave the surface in equilibrium, and it has also explained experimental data for rare gas scattering under well-defined conditions for which both a direct scattering contribution and a trapping-desorption fraction were observed as separate peaks in the energy-resolved scattering spectra.\textsuperscript{19,20}

As expected, for the accommodation of He and Ne at a tungsten surface, where quantum mechanics should be dominant in the scattering process, the present classical theory is unable to explain the measured experimental data. However, by regarding the physisorption potential well depth as a parameter, reasonable agreement with data is obtained for the heavy rare gases Ar, Kr and Xe. The choices of well depths used to match the accommodation coefficient data are somewhat smaller than the ranges of well depths that have been measured in other experiments.
II. THEORY

The energy accommodation coefficient \( \alpha_E(T_S, T_G) \) is the ratio of the average energy exchanged by a gas in contact with a surface normalized to the maximum thermodynamically allowed energy that could be exchanged.

\[
\alpha_E(T_S, T_G) = \frac{\overline{E_f} - < E_i >}{< E_f > - < E_i >} = \frac{\overline{E_f} - 2k_BT_G}{2k_BT_S - 2k_BT_G}.
\]

In Eq. (1) \( \overline{E_f} \) is the average energy of a gas particle after making a collision with the surface, \( T_G \) is the temperature of the gas, \( T_S \) is the temperature of the surface, and \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant. The expression on the far right hand side of Eq. (1) is obtained under the assumption that both the gas and surface are in equilibrium at their respective temperatures, thus the average energy of the incident gas particles striking the surface is \( < E_i > = 2k_BT_G \) and the average energy of the gas leaving the surface if it should come into equilibrium would be \( < E_f > = 2k_BT_S \). These average energies are obtained from the Knudsen distribution for a gas in equilibrium, sometimes called the flux-corrected Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

\[
\frac{dP^K(p_i, T_G)}{dE_i d\Omega_i} = \frac{E_i \cos \theta_i}{\pi (k_BT_G)^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{E_i}{k_BT_G} \right\}.
\]

If the gas is initially in equilibrium then the average final energy after a collision with the surface is given by

\[
\overline{E_f} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dE_i \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\Omega_i \int_{0}^{\infty} dE_f \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\Omega_f E_f \frac{dP^K(p_i, T_G)}{dE_i d\Omega_i} \frac{dR(p_f, p_i, T_S)}{dE_f d\Omega_f},
\]

where \( dR(p_f, p_i, T_S)/dE_f d\Omega_f \) is the differential reflection coefficient giving the probability per unit final energy and final solid angle that a gas particle initially in momentum state \( p_i \) will make a transition to the state \( p_f \) as a result of the interaction with the surface. The differential reflection coefficient must obey the two conditions of unitarity and detailed balancing, as does also the Knudsen distribution of Eq. (2). The condition of unitarity means that the number of gas particles is conserved, i.e., for a given initial momentum state \( p_i \) the integral of the differential reflection coefficient over all final energies and angles is normalized to unity.

It is convenient to define an accommodation coefficient that is a function of a single temperature by taking the limit as the surface and gas temperatures approach the same
value. Thus results in the equilibrium energy accommodation coefficient defined as

$$\alpha_E(T) = \lim_{T_G \to T, T_S \to T} \alpha_E(T_S, T_G). \quad (4)$$

All calculations in this paper will be for $\alpha_E(T)$ since most experimental data for the accommodation of rare gases on clean surfaces is reported in this form.

Using the condition of detailed balancing, the temperature limit of Eq. (4) can be readily carried out leading to the final form

$$\alpha_E(T) = \frac{1}{4(k_B T)^2} \int_0^\infty dE_i \int_{2\pi} \Omega_i \int_0^\infty dE_f \int_{2\pi} \Omega_f (E_f - E_i)^2 \frac{dP^k(p_i, T_G) \; dR(p_f, p_i, T_S)}{dE_f d\Omega_f}. \quad (5)$$

At this point the only remaining quantity needed for evaluating the accommodation coefficient is the differential reflection coefficient $dR(p_f, p_i, T_S)/dE_f d\Omega_f$. This provides a complete description of the scattering process which means that it contains not only the direct scattering arising from a single collision or a small number of collisions with the surface, but it also should contain the contributions of those particles that are initially trapped and then subsequently desorbed. The present authors have recently developed a complete theory of atom-surface scattering that includes both contributions.\textsuperscript{19,20} This theory is based on an initial differential reflection coefficient for a single surface collision $dR^0(p_f, p_i, T_S)/dE_f d\Omega_f$.

This initial collision results in a scattered intensity that consists of a fraction that is the direct scattering contribution which leaves the surface and a fraction that is trapped in the physisorption well of the interaction potential. The trapped fraction is followed inside the well and when those particles have another collision, some escape into the continuum and some remain trapped and go on to have further collisions with similar consequences. By dividing all trapped particles into a distribution of small energy and angular bins they can be followed through many collisions until ultimately essentially all of them have escaped into the continuum and desorbed. This process can be written schematically as the following equation

$$\frac{dR^n(p_f, p_i)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} = \frac{dR^0(p_f, p_i)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} + \int dE_b d\Omega_b \frac{dR^0(p_f, p_b)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} \frac{dR^0(p_b, p_i)}{dE_b d\Omega_b} \quad (6)$$

$$+ \int dE_b d\Omega_b \frac{dR^0(p_f, p_b)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} \frac{dR^1(p_b, p_i)}{dE_b d\Omega_b} + \ldots$$

$$+ \int dE_b d\Omega_b \frac{dR^0(p_f, p_b)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} \frac{dR^{n-1}(p_b, p_i)}{dE_b d\Omega_b},$$
where $dR^{n-1}(p_b, p_i, T_s)/dE_b d\Omega_b$ is the differential reflection coefficient giving the distribution of particles remaining trapped in the bound states after $n - 1$ collisions and the intermediate integrations in the higher order terms are carried out only over angles and energies that pertain to the trapped fraction. The process described in Eq. (6) is readily developed into an iterative scheme in which the scattered distribution remaining in the well after the $n$th collision becomes the source for the next collision. The details of the calculation of the differential reflection coefficient of Eq. (6) are given in Refs.[19], and [20] where it is shown that this procedure for calculating the trapping-desorption fraction can not only explain the physical behavior of the trapping-desorption fraction, but it can also explain experimental data for energy-resolved scattering spectra of rare gases taken under conditions in which there are distinct and clearly exhibited features due to both direct scattering and trapping-desorption.

The zeroth order differential reflection coefficient is chosen to be that due to a potential consisting of an attractive square well in front of a smooth repulsive wall whose surface vibrates due to the thermal motion of the substrate atoms. The square well has depth $D$ and width $b$. A square well is certainly a simple approximation for the attractive well of a realistic interaction potential and in particular the abrupt attractive step does not resemble the smoothly-varying and long-ranged $1/z^3$ attractive part of the Van der Waals potential. However, for a classical mechanical treatment a square well does correctly describe the increase in energy and refraction towards more normal incidence angles when a particle enters the physisorption potential and these appear to be the most important characteristics.

The width $b$ does not affect the scattered intensities provided it is larger than the selvedge region of the surface, i.e., as long as it is larger than the surface vibrational displacements. However, trapping times are proportional to $b$. This differential reflection coefficient has been shown to be useful in explaining a variety of atom-surface scattering experiments and is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dR^0(p_f, p_i; T_s)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} &= \frac{m^2 v_f^2 |p_f|}{4\pi^3 h^2 p_{iz} S_a c N_D^0} |\tau_{fi}|^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{k_B T_s \Delta E_0} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{(E_f - E_i + \Delta E_0)^2 + 2v_{iz}^2 p^2}{4k_B T_s \Delta E_0} \right) \right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta E_0 = (p_f - p_i)^2/2M$ is the recoil energy. $p_{iz}$ is the $z$ component of the incident momentum, $|\tau_{fi}|^2$ is the form factor of the scattering $N_D^0$ is the normalization coefficient, $P = P_f - P_i$ is the parallel momentum exchange, and $S_{a,c}$ is the area of a surface unit cell.
The quantity $v_R$ is a weighted velocity of sound parallel to the surface. It is expected to have a value of order of the Rayleigh phonon speed and can be calculated from the complete surface phonon spectral density, however, it is usually taken to be a constant.\textsuperscript{21-23}

The amplitude $\tau_{fi}$ of the form factor appearing in Eq. (7) is in general the transition matrix of the elastic interaction potential extended off of the energy shell to account for inelastic scattering. A good approximation that has been extensively used is the first-order distorted wave Born approximation matrix element which for a strongly repulsive surface is given by\textsuperscript{17}

\begin{equation}
\tau_{fi} = \frac{4p_{fz}p_{iz}}{m} \tag{8}
\end{equation}

The main numerical operations involved in carrying out calculations are the multiple integrals involved in the accommodation coefficient of Eq. (5) and in the iterative evaluation of the differential reflection coefficient of Eq. (6). In each case these are six-dimensional integrations, although, if the surface is azimuthally symmetric as is the case for the potential used here, the accommodation coefficient reduces to a five-dimensional integral. The angular integrations are carried out using Gauss-Legendre algorithms and the energy integrals with Gauss-Laguerre algorithms.

### III. RESULTS

Comparisons with experimental data for calculations using the theory and interaction potential described above are presented for the heavy rare gases in contact with a tungsten surface in Figures 1-3. The data from Thomas et al. are shown as open circles and the data from Kouptsidis and Menzel are shown as filled circles.

Fig. 1 shows the measured equilibrium accommodation coefficient for Ar on W compared to four curves calculated with different well depths of 10, 20, 25 and 50 meV. The velocity parameter is $v_R = 500$ m/s. The best agreement with the data is for a well depth of approximately $D = 25$ meV. A table of measured and theoretically calculated well depths for the Ar/W system is given in Ref. [\textsuperscript{18}] which shows that this value of 25 meV is somewhat smaller than expected. This table is based on values presented in Ref. [\textsuperscript{26}] and the measurements, primarily obtained from thermal desorption experiments, range from 78 to 127 meV while calculated values are somewhat smaller ranging from 33 to 47 meV. If the velocity
parameter $v_R$ is made somewhat larger the calculations for a larger well depth approach more closely to the data at large temperatures, but the agreement at low temperatures becomes worse. Although the well depth predicted by these calculations is somewhat smaller than expected, it is considerably larger than the value of 15 meV used previously to fit the data with calculations based solely on the direct scattering. Thus it becomes clear that including the trapping-desorption in the calculation significantly increases the value of the accommodation.

Fig. 2 shows the accommodation coefficient data for Kr/W compared to calculations carried out for two different well depths, 50 and 70 meV. The best agreement with the data is for a well depth of approximately $D = 50$ meV. Larger well depths lead to larger trapping-desorption fractions, and since the trapping-desorption fraction is nearly in equilibrium this tends to enhance the accommodation coefficient. As in the case for Ar/W, calculations with $v_R$ larger than 500 m/s will tend to decrease the accommodation coefficient for a given well depth, but at the expense of poorer overall agreement with the data. Estimated well depths for the Kr/W system have been obtained only from thermal desorption experiments and these values range from 195 to 247 meV as tabulated in Ref. [26]. Thus the value used here to give a best fit with the data is small in comparison to the thermal desorption measurements, but again as for the Ar/W system it is twice as large as the value obtained for calculations based only on direct scattering.

Fig. 3 shows similar comparisons with data for the case of Xe/W. Calculations for two well depths, 100 and 150 meV, are shown and $v_R = 500$ m/s. Both of these well depths are somewhat smaller than the independently measured thermal desorption value of 180 meV. [26]. It is interesting to note that the calculations for $D = 150$ meV and temperatures below $T_S = 150$ K show that essentially all of the gas atoms are trapped in the physisorption well and escape nearly in equilibrium which results in complete accommodation, or $\alpha_E = 1$.

It is to be expected that a classical theory will not be adequate to describe the accommodation of the lighter rare gases He and Ne on a surface of atoms as heavy as tungsten. Numerous treatments have shown that the interaction of these gases with metal surfaces, especially for the case of He gas, is quantum mechanical in nature and the scattering is dominated by elastic and single phonon inelastic processes. A classical theory, such as used here, cannot properly treat quantum mechanical processes and the present calculations predict accommodation coefficient values that are much too large for He and Ne. However, there are
several quantum theoretical treatments of the accommodation coefficient based on exchange of small numbers of phonons which explain the measured values for the He/W and Ne/W system quite nicely.\textsuperscript{14,17}

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents calculations of the equilibrium accommodation coefficient for energy exchange at a gas-surface interface using a newly developed theory of atom-surface scattering in the classical limit that treats both the direct scattering and the fraction of particles that are trapped and subsequently desorbed after the initial collision with the surface. This theory is applied to a relatively straightforward model of the interaction potential, consisting of a strongly repulsive vibrating repulsive wall with an attractive square physisorption well in front. However, the theory treats the statistical mechanics of the scattering process properly and is able to track all initially physisorbed particles until they eventually desorb. This theory not only describes both the direct and trapping-desorption fractions, it has been used to explain measured experimental data whose energy-resolved scattering spectra exhibit distinct features due to direct and trapping-desorption events. Thus, it is of interest to calculate the accommodation coefficient using this theory to see if it explains the available data for energy transfer at a gas-surface interface.

A large amount of data exists for the accommodation of a variety of atomic and molecular gases at different types of surfaces. However, the most carefully defined systems, both experimentally and theoretically, are the rare gases accommodating at a tungsten surface. Although data is available for all the rare gases except radon, comparisons here are made only for the heavier rare gases. This is because the light mass rare gases, He and Ne, interact quantum mechanically and are not well explained by a purely classical theory.

This work can be viewed as a logical extension of an earlier paper by one of the authors in which calculations with a similar interaction potential model, but a theory that contained only the direct scattering component, was applied to the energy accommodation coefficient.\textsuperscript{18} Thus, the present work when compared to the previous results gives a clear indication of the contributions of the trapping-desorption fraction to the accommodation.

Reasonable overall agreement between calculations and measured accommodation coefficient data is obtained. However, the results do depend on the choice of the well depth and
the velocity parameter that arises from the model of the interaction potential. Neither of these quantities has been well established for the interaction of heavy rare gases with the tungsten surface. The calculated values of the well depths that give the best agreement with measurements tend to be somewhat smaller than the range of independent estimates extracted from thermal desorption experiments. However, in the cases where more than one such measurement exists, there are significant differences between the well depth values obtained in different experiments.\textsuperscript{26} In comparison with the previous calculations\textsuperscript{18}, the present work predicts well depths that are significantly larger due to the influence of the trapping-desorption fraction.

The fact that this theory explains the available data for heavy rare gases accommodating at clean tungsten surfaces, and the fact that state-to-state calculations explain recently available data for Ar scattering under conditions where the energy-resolved spectra exhibited clear evidence for distinct direct scattering and trapping-desorption features, implies that it should be a useful model for predicting the energy accommodation for other gas-surface systems. In particular it should be able to predict the behavior of other systems as a function of the experimentally accessible initial conditions such as temperature, well depth, gas particle mass and surface mass.
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