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Lecture 9 for Applied Multivariate Analysis

## Outline

(1) Two sample $T^{2}$ test

- $T^{2}$ distribution in the two sample case
- Wilk's Lambda
(2) Confidence ellipses

Analogous to the univariate context, we wish to determine whether the mean vectors are comparable, more formally:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose we let $\mathbf{y}_{1 i}, i=1, \ldots n_{1}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{2 i}, i=1, \ldots n_{2}$ represent independent samples from two $p$-variate normal distribution with mean vectors $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ but with common covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ unknown, provided $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is positive definite and $n>p$, given sample estimators for mean and covariance $\overline{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ respectively.

We can then define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{W}_{1}=\left(n_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{S}_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1 i}-\overline{\mathbf{y}_{1}}\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1 i}-\overline{\mathbf{y}_{1}}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \mathbf{W}_{2}=\left(n_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{S}_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{2 i}-\overline{\mathbf{y}_{2}}\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{2 i}-\overline{\mathbf{y}_{2}}\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

since each are unbiased estimators of the common covariance matrix, ie. $\mathrm{E}\left[\left(n_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{S}_{1}\right]=\left(n_{1}-1\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and $\mathrm{E}\left[\left(n_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{S}_{2}\right]=\left(n_{2}-1\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

The $T^{2}$ statistic can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{2}=\left(\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1}-\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{S}^{-1}\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{1}}-\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{S}^{-1}$ is the inverse of the pooled correlation matrix given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S} & =\frac{\left(n_{1}-1\right) \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}+\left(n_{2}-1\right) \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}\left(\mathbf{W}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

given the sample estimates for covariance, $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ in the two samples.

## Outline

(1) Two sample $T^{2}$ test

- $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ distribution in the two sample case - Wilk's Lambda
(2) Confidence ellipses

Again, there is a simple relationship between the test statistic, $T^{2}$, and the $F$ distribution:

## Theorem

If $\mathbf{y}_{1 i}, i=1, \ldots n_{1}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{2 i}, i=1, \ldots n_{2}$ represent independent samples from two $p$ variate normal distribution with mean vectors $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ but with common covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, provided $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is positive definite and $n>p$, given sample estimators for mean and covariance $\overline{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ respectively, then:

$$
F=\frac{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-p-1\right) T^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-2\right) p}
$$

has an $F$ distribution on $p$ and $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-p-1\right)$ degrees of freedom.

- Essentially, we compute the test statistic, and see whether it falls within the $(1-\alpha)$ quantile of the $F$ distribution on those degrees of freedom.
- Note again that to ensure non-singularity of $\mathbf{S}$, we require that $n_{1}+n_{2}>p$.


## Characteristic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{2}=\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1}-\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}} \frac{1}{n_{2}}\right) \mathbf{S}_{p l}\right]^{-1}\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1}-\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Outline

(1) Two sample $T^{2}$ test

- $T^{2}$ distribution in the two sample case
- Wilk's Lambda
(2) Confidence ellipses


## Wilk's Lambda

What was all that stuff about likelihood ratio's about? It turns out that it is possible to show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{2 / n}=\left(\frac{|\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}|}{\left|\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{0}\right|}\right)=\left(1+\frac{T^{2}}{n-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also possible to obtain the $T^{2}$ via union intersection methods. This is nice because it tells us a lot about the properties of the test!

## Confidence ellipses

Essentially, we wish to find a region of squared Mahalanobis distance such that:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left((\overline{\mathbf{y}}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\prime} \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{y}}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right) \leq c^{2}
$$

and we can find $c^{2}$ as follows:

$$
c^{2}=\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{p}{n-p}\right) F_{(1-\alpha), p,(n-p)}
$$

where $F_{(1-\alpha), p,(n-p)}$ is the $(1-\alpha)$ quantile of the $F$ distribution with $p$ and $n-p$ degrees of freedom, $p$ represents the number of variables and $n$ the sample size.

- The centroid of the ellipse is at $\overline{\mathbf{y}}$
- The half length of the semi-major axis is given by:

$$
\sqrt{\lambda_{1}} \sqrt{\frac{p(n-1)}{n(n-p)} F_{p, n-p}(\alpha)}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of $\mathbf{S}$

- The half length of the semi-minor axis is given by:

$$
\sqrt{\lambda_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{p(n-1)}{n(n-p)} F_{p, n-p}(\alpha)}
$$

where $\lambda_{2}$ is the second eigenvalue of $\mathbf{S}$

- The ratio of these two eigenvalues gives you some idea of the elongation of the ellipse
$y_{2}$

$y_{1}$
- In addition to the (joint) confidence ellipse, it is possible to consider simultaneous confidence intervals - univariate confidence intervals based on a linear combination which could be considered as shadows of the confidence ellipse
- It is also possible to carry out Bonferroni adjustments of these simultaneous intervals
- $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ test is based upon Mahalanobis distance and can be used for inference on mean vectors - this test can be derived via a variety of routes
- Difference between univariate and multivariate inference, especially when considering confidence ellipses
- Having determined that there is a significant difference between mean vectors, you may wish to conduct a number of follow up investigations and even carry out discriminant analysis

